

INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF LOCALLY C^* -ALGEBRAS

MARIA JOIȚA

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, by analogy with the case of C^* -algebras, we define the notion of induced representation of a locally C^* -algebra and then we prove the imprimitivity theorem for induced representations of locally C^* -algebras.

MSC: 22D30; 46L05; 46L08

1 Introduction

Locally C^* -algebras generalize the notion of C^* -algebra. A locally C^* -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological $*$ -algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C^* -seminorms in the sense that the net $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to 0 if and only if the net $\{p(a_i)\}_{i \in I}$ converges to 0 for every continuous C^* -seminorm p on A . The terminology "locally C^* -algebra" is due to Inoue (see [2]). Locally C^* -algebras were also studied by Phillips (under the name of pro- C^* -algebra, see [7]), Fragouloupoulou,

and other people.

A representation of A on a Hilbert space H is a continuous $*$ -morphism φ from A to $L(H)$, the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . Given a locally C^* -algebra A which acts non-degenerately on a Hilbert module E over a locally C^* -algebra B and a non-degenerate representation (φ, H) of B , exactly as in the case of C^* -algebras (see [8]), we construct a representation of A , called the Rieffel-induced representation from B to A via E , and then we prove some properties of this representation. Thus, we prove that the theorem on induction in stages (Theorem 5.9 in [8]) is also true in the context of locally C^* -algebras (Theorem 3.6). In section 4, we prove that if A and B are two locally C^* -algebras which are strong Morita equivalent, then any non-degenerate representation of A is induced from a non-degenerate representation of B (Theorem 4.4).

2 Preliminaries

Let A be a locally C^* -algebra and let $S(A)$ be the set of all continuous C^* -seminorms on A . If $p \in S(A)$, then $A_p = A/\ker p$ is a C^* -algebra in the norm induced by p and $A = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} A_p$. The canonical map from A onto A_p is denoted by π_p and the image of a under π_p by a_p .

An isomorphism from a locally C^* -algebra A to a locally C^* -algebra B is a bijective, continuous $*$ -morphism Φ from A to B such that Φ^{-1} is continuous.

If (φ, H) is a representation of A , then there is $p \in S(A)$ and a representation (φ_p, H) of A_p such that $\varphi = \varphi_p \circ \pi_p$. We say that (φ_p, H) is a

representation of A_p associated to (φ, H) . The representation (φ, H) is non-degenerate if $\varphi(A)H$ is dense in H . Clearly, (φ, H) is non-degenerate if and only if (φ_p, H) is non-degenerate. We say that the representations (φ_1, H_1) and (φ_2, H_2) of A are unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary operator U from H_1 onto H_2 such that $U \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \circ U$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.1 *A pre-Hilbert A -module is a complex vector space E which is also a right A -module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow A$ which is $\mathbb{C}$ - and A -linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:*

- (i) $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle$ for every $\xi, \eta \in E$;
- (ii) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for every $\xi \in E$;
- (iii) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\xi = 0$.

We say that E is a Hilbert A -module if E is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_p\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where $\|\xi\|_p = \sqrt{p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)}$, $\xi \in E$ (Definition 4.1 of [7]).

Let E be a Hilbert A -module. For $p \in S(A)$, the vector space $E_p = E/\mathcal{E}_p$, where $\mathcal{E}_p = \{\xi \in E; p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) = 0\}$, is a Hilbert A_p -module with the action of A_p on E_p defined by $(\xi + \mathcal{E}_p)(a + \ker p) = \xi a + \mathcal{E}_p$ and the inner product defined by $\langle \xi + \mathcal{E}_p, \eta + \mathcal{E}_p \rangle = \pi_p(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$ (Lemma 4.5 [7]). The canonical map from E onto E_p is denoted by σ_p and the image of ξ under σ_p by ξ_p . Thus, for $p, q \in S(A)$, $p \geq q$, there is a canonical morphism of vector spaces σ_{pq} from E_p into E_q such that $\sigma_{pq}(\xi_p) = \xi_q$, $\xi_p \in E_p$. Then $\{E_p, A_p, \sigma_{pq} : E_p \rightarrow E_q, p \geq q; p, q \in S(A)\}$ is an inverse system of Hilbert C^* -modules in the following sense: $\sigma_{pq}(\xi_p a_p) = \sigma_{pq}(\xi_p) \pi_{pq}(a_p)$, $\xi_p \in E_p, a_p \in$

A_p ; $\langle \sigma_{pq}(\xi_p), \sigma_{pq}(\eta_p) \rangle = \pi_{pq}(\langle \xi_p, \eta_p \rangle)$, $\xi_p, \eta_p \in E_p$; $\sigma_{pp}(\xi_p) = \xi_p$, $\xi_p \in E_p$ and $\sigma_{qr} \circ \sigma_{pq} = \sigma_{pr}$ if $p \geq q \geq r$, and $\varprojlim_p E_p$ is a Hilbert A -module which may be identified with the Hilbert A -module E (Proposition 4.4 [7]).

A Hilbert A -module E is full if the ideal of A generated by $\{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \xi, \eta \in E\}$ is dense in A .

Let E and F be two Hilbert A -modules. The set of all adjointable linear operators from E to F is denoted by $L_A(E, F)$, and we write $L_A(E)$ for $L_A(E, E)$. We consider on $L_A(E, F)$ the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\tilde{p}\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where $\tilde{p}(T) = \sup \left\{ \|T\xi\|_p; \|\xi\|_p \leq 1 \right\}$. Then $L_A(E, F)$ is isomorphic to $\varprojlim_p L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ (Proposition 4.7, [7]), and $L_A(E)$ becomes a locally C^* -algebra. The canonical maps from $L_A(E, F)$ to $L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$, $p \in S(A)$ are denoted by $(\pi_p)_*$ and $(\pi_p)_*(T)(\sigma_p(\xi)) = \sigma_p(T\xi)$.

We say that the Hilbert A -modules E and F are unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary operator in $L_A(E, F)$.

A locally C^* -algebra A acts non-degenerately on a Hilbert B -module E if there is a continuous $*$ -morphism Φ from A to $L_B(E)$ such that $\Phi(A)E$ is dense in E .

The closed vector subspace of $L_A(E, F)$ spanned by $\{\theta_{\eta, \xi}; \xi \in E, \eta \in F\}$, where $\theta_{\eta, \xi}(\zeta) = \eta \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$, is denoted by $K_A(E, F)$, and we write $K_A(E)$ for $K_A(E, E)$. Moreover, the locally C^* -algebras $K_A(E, F)$ and $\varprojlim_p K_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ are isomorphic as well as the C^* -algebras $(K_A(E, F))_p$ and $K_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ for all $p \in S(A)$. Since $K_A(E)E$ is dense in E , $K_A(E)$ acts non-degenerately on E .

3 Induced representations

Let A and B be two locally C^* -algebras, let E be a Hilbert B -module, let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L_B(E)$ be a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism and let (φ, H) be a non-degenerate representation of B . We will construct a non-degenerate representation $({}^A_E\varphi, {}_E H)$ of A from (φ, H) via E .

Construction 3.1 (for C^* -algebras, see [8]): Define a sesquilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0^\varphi$ on the vector space $E \otimes_{alg} H$ by

$$\langle \xi \otimes h_1, \eta \otimes h_2 \rangle_0^\varphi = \langle h_1, \varphi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_E) h_2 \rangle_\varphi$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space H . It is easy to see that $(E \otimes_{alg} H) / N_\varphi$, where N_φ is the vector subspace of $E \otimes_{alg} H$ generated by $\{\xi \otimes h \in E \otimes_{alg} H; \langle \xi \otimes h, \xi \otimes h \rangle_0^\varphi = 0\}$, is a pre-Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$\langle \xi \otimes h_1 + N_\varphi, \eta \otimes h_2 + N_\varphi \rangle^\varphi = \langle \xi \otimes h_1, \eta \otimes h_2 \rangle_0^\varphi.$$

The completion of $(E \otimes_{alg} H) / N_\varphi$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\varphi$ is denoted by ${}_E H$. Let $T \in L_B(E)$. Define a linear map ${}_E\varphi(T)$ from $E \otimes_{alg} H$ into ${}_E H$ by

$${}_E\varphi(T)(\xi \otimes h) = T\xi \otimes h.$$

If (φ_q, H) is a representation of B_q associated to (φ, H) , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle {}_E\varphi(T)(\xi \otimes h), {}_E\varphi(T)(\xi \otimes h) \rangle_0^\varphi &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle T\xi, T\xi \rangle_E) h \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \left\langle h, \varphi_q \left(\langle (\pi_q)_*(T)\sigma_q(\xi), (\pi_q)_*(T)\sigma_q(\xi) \rangle_{E_q} \right) h \right\rangle_\varphi \\ &\leq \tilde{q}(T) \left\langle h, \varphi_q \left(\langle \sigma_q(\xi), \sigma_q(\xi) \rangle_{E_q} \right) h \right\rangle_\varphi \\ &= \tilde{q}(T) \langle h, (\varphi_q \circ \pi_q)(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E) h \rangle_\varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$= \tilde{q}(T) \langle \xi \otimes h, \xi \otimes h \rangle_0^\varphi$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and $h \in H$. From this we conclude that ${}_E\varphi(T)$ may be extended to a bounded linear operator ${}_E\varphi(T)$ on ${}_EH$. In this way we have obtained a map ${}_E\varphi$ from $L_B(E)$ to $L({}_EH)$. It is easy to see that $({}_E\varphi, {}_EH)$ is a representation of $L_B(E)$ on ${}_EH$. Moreover, ${}_E\varphi$ is non-degenerate. Then ${}_E\varphi \circ \Phi$ is a non-degenerate representation of A on ${}_EH$ and it is denoted by ${}_E^A\varphi$.

Definition 3.2 The representation $({}_E^A\varphi, {}_EH)$ constructed above is called the Rieffel-induced representation from B to A via E .

Remark 3.3 1. Let (φ_1, H_1) and (φ_2, H_2) be two non-degenerate representations of B . If (φ_1, H_1) and (φ_2, H_2) are unitarily equivalent, then $({}_E^A\varphi_1, {}_EH_1)$ and $({}_E^A\varphi_2, {}_EH_2)$ are unitarily equivalent.

2. Let F be a Hilbert B -module which is unitarily equivalent to E . If U is a unitary element in $L_B(E, F)$ and A acts on F by $a \rightarrow U \circ \Phi(a) \circ U^*$, then the representations $({}_E^A\varphi, {}_EH)$ and $({}_F^A\varphi, {}_FH)$ of A are unitarily equivalent.

Proof. (1) : If U is a unitary operator from H_1 onto H_2 , then it is not hard to check that the linear operator V from $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H_1$ onto $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H_2$ defined by $V(\xi \otimes h) = \xi \otimes Uh$ may be extended to a unitary operator V from ${}_EH_1$ onto ${}_EH_2$ and moreover, $V \circ {}_E^A\varphi_1(a) = {}_E^A\varphi_2(a) \circ V$ for all a in A .

(2) : Consider the linear operator W from $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$ onto $F \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$ defined by $W(\xi \otimes h) = U\xi \otimes h$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_F^A\varphi(a) \circ W)(\xi \otimes h) &= (U \circ \Phi(a) \circ U^*)(U\xi) \otimes h = U(\Phi(a)\xi) \otimes h \\ &= W(\Phi(a)\xi \otimes h) = (W \circ {}_E^A\varphi(a))(\xi \otimes h) \end{aligned}$$

for all a in A , ξ in E and h in H . It is not difficult to see that W may be extended to a unitary operator from ${}_E H$ onto ${}_F H$ and ${}_F^A \varphi(a) \circ W = W \circ {}_E^A \varphi(a)$ for all a in A . ■

Proposition 3.4 *Let (φ, H) be a non-degenerate representation of B . If (φ_q, H) is a non-degenerate representation of B_q associated to (φ, H) , then there is $p \in S(A)$ such that A_p acts non-degenerately on E_q and the representations $({}_E^A \varphi, {}_E H)$ and $({}_{E_q}^{A_p} \varphi_q \circ \pi_{p, E_q} H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Define a linear map U from $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$ into $E_q \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$ by

$$U(\xi \otimes h) = \sigma_q(\xi) \otimes h.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U(\xi \otimes h), U(\xi \otimes h) \rangle_0^{\varphi_q} &= \left\langle h, \varphi_q \left(\langle \sigma_q(\xi), \sigma_q(\xi) \rangle_{E_q} \right) h \right\rangle_{\varphi} \\ &= \left\langle h, (\varphi_q \circ \pi_q)(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E) h \right\rangle_{\varphi} \\ &= \langle \xi \otimes h, \xi \otimes h \rangle_0^{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and $h \in H$, U may be extended to a bounded linear operator U from ${}_E H$ onto ${}_{E_q} H$. It is easy to verify that U is unitary and $U \circ {}_E \varphi(T) = ({}_{E_q} \varphi \circ (\pi_q)_*)(T) \circ U$ for all $T \in L_B(E)$. Hence the representations $({}_E \varphi, {}_E H)$ and $({}_{E_q} \varphi_q \circ (\pi_q)_*, {}_{E_q} H)$ of $L_B(E)$ are unitarily equivalent.

The continuity of Φ implies that there is $p \in S(A)$ such that $\tilde{q}(\Phi(a)) \leq p(a)$ for all a in A and so there is a $*$ -morphism Φ_p from A_p to $L_{B_q}(E_q)$ such that $\Phi_p \circ \pi_p = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi$. Moreover, Φ_p is non-degenerate. From

$$\begin{aligned} U \circ {}_E^A \varphi(a) &= U \circ {}_E \varphi(\Phi(a)) = ({}_{E_q} \varphi_q \circ (\pi_q)_*)(\Phi(a)) \circ U \\ &= ({}_{E_q} \varphi_q(\Phi_p(\pi_p(a)))) \circ U = ({}_{E_q}^{A_p} \varphi_q \circ \pi_p)(a) \circ U \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$, we conclude that the representations $({}^A_E \varphi, {}^A_E H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_q \circ \pi_p, {}_{E_q} H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent and the proposition is proved. ■

Corollary 3.5 *If $(\varphi, H) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \varphi_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i \right)$, then $({}^A_E \varphi, {}^A_E H)$ is unitarily equivalent to $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} {}^A_E \varphi_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} {}_E H_i)$.*

Proof. Let (φ_q, H) be a representation of B_q associated to (φ, H) . It is easy to see that there is a representation (φ_{iq}, H_i) of B_q such that $\varphi_{iq} \circ \pi_q = \varphi_i$ for each $i \in I$. Moreover, $\varphi_q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varphi_{iq}$. By Proposition 3.4, there is $p \in S(A)$ such that the representations $({}^A_E \varphi, {}^A_E H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_q \circ \pi_{p, E_q} H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent as well as the representations $({}^A_E \varphi_i, {}^A_E H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_{iq} \circ \pi_{p, E_q} H_i)$ for all $i \in I$.

On the other hand, we know that the representations $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_q, {}_{E_q} H)$ and $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} {}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_{iq}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} {}_{E_q} H_i)$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent (Corollary 5.4 in [8]). This implies that the representations $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_q \circ \pi_{p, E_q} H)$ and $\bigoplus_{i \in I} ({}^{A_p}_{E_q} \varphi_{iq} \circ \pi_{p, E_q} H_i)$ of A are unitarily equivalent and the corollary is proved. ■

Let A, B and C be three locally C^* -algebras, let E be a Hilbert B -module and F a Hilbert C -module and let $\Phi_1 : A \rightarrow L_B(E)$ and $\Phi_2 : B \rightarrow L_C(F)$ be non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphisms. If $E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F$ is the inner tensor product of E and F using Φ_2 , then $E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F = \varprojlim_{r \in S(C)} E \otimes_{\Phi_{2r}} F_r$ and the locally C^* -algebras $L_C(E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F)$ and $\varprojlim_{r \in S(C)} L_{C_r}(E \otimes_{\Phi_{2r}} F_r)$ are isomorphic as well as $K_C(E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F)$ and $\varprojlim_{r \in S(C)} K_{C_r}(E \otimes_{\Phi_{2r}} F_r)$, where $\Phi_{2r} = (\pi_r)_* \circ \Phi_2$ (see [3]). Moreover, there is a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism $(\Phi_2)_*$ from $L_B(E)$ to $L_C(E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F)$ defined by $(\Phi_2)_*(T)(\xi \otimes_{\Phi_2} \eta) = T\xi \otimes_{\Phi_2} \eta$. Let $\Phi = (\Phi_2)_* \circ \Phi_1$. Then Φ is a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism from

A to $L_C(E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F)$.

Theorem 3.6 *Let A, B, C, E, F, Φ_1 and Φ_2 be as above. If (φ, H) is a non-degenerate representation of C , then the representations $({}^A_G \varphi, {}_G H)$, where $G = E \otimes_{\Phi_2} F$, and $({}^A_E ({}^B_F \varphi), {}_E ({}_F H))$ of A are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let (φ_r, H) be a non-degenerate representation of C_r associated to (φ, H) . Then there is $q \in S(B)$ and a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism $\Psi_{2q} : B_q \rightarrow L_{C_r}(F_r)$ such that $\Psi_{2q} \circ \pi_q = (\pi_r)_* \circ \Phi_2$ and there is $p \in S(A)$ and a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism $\Psi_{1p} : A_p \rightarrow L_{B_q}(E_q)$ such that $\Psi_{1p} \circ \pi_p = (\pi_q)_* \circ \Phi_1$ and a non-degenerate continuous $*$ -morphism $\Phi_p : A_p \rightarrow L_{C_r}(G_r)$ such that $\Phi_p \circ \pi_p = (\pi_r)_* \circ \Phi$.

According to Proposition 3.4, the representations $({}^A_G \varphi, {}_G H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{G_r} \varphi_r \circ \pi_p, {}_{G_r} H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent as well as the representations $({}^B_F \varphi, {}_F H)$ and $({}^{B_q}_{F_r} \varphi_r \circ \pi_q, {}_{F_r} H)$ of B . Since the representations $({}^B_F \varphi, {}_F H)$ and $({}^{B_q}_{F_r} \varphi_r \circ \pi_q, {}_{F_r} H)$ of B are unitarily equivalent, by Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.3 (1) we deduce that the representations $({}^A_E ({}^B_F \varphi), {}_E ({}_F H))$ and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} ({}^{B_q}_{F_r} \varphi_r) \circ \pi_p, {}_{E_q} ({}_F H))$ of A are unitarily equivalent.

To show that the representations $({}^A_G \varphi, {}_G H)$ and $({}^A_E ({}^B_F \varphi), {}_E ({}_F H))$ of A are unitarily equivalent it is sufficient to prove that the representations $({}^{A_p}_{G_r} \varphi_r, {}_{G_r} H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} ({}^{B_q}_{F_r} \varphi_r), {}_{E_q} ({}_F H))$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent. But we know that the representations $({}^{A_p}_{X_r} \varphi_r, {}_{X_r} H)$, where $X_r = E_q \otimes_{\Psi_{2q}} F_r$, and $({}^{A_p}_{E_q} ({}^{B_q}_{F_r} \varphi_r), {}_{E_q} ({}_F H))$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent (Theorem 5.9 in [8]) and so it is sufficient to prove that the representations $({}^{A_p}_{X_r} \varphi_r, {}_{X_r} H)$ and $({}^{A_p}_{G_r} \varphi_r, {}_{G_r} H)$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent.

It is not hard to check that the linear map $U : G_r \rightarrow X_r$ defined by $U(\xi \otimes_{\Phi_{2r}} \eta) = \sigma_q(\xi) \otimes_{\Psi_{2q}} \eta$ is a unitary operator in $L_{C_r}(G_r, X_r)$ and moreover, $(\Phi_{2r})_*(T) = U^* \circ (\Psi_{2q})_*((\pi_q)_*(T)) \circ U$ for all T in $L_B(E)$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.4 in [3]). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p(\pi_p(a)) &= (\pi_r)_*((\Phi_2)_*(\Phi_1(a))) = (\Phi_{2r})_*(\Phi_1(a)) \\ &= U^* \circ (\Psi_{2q})_*((\pi_q)_*(\Phi_1(a))) \circ U \\ &= U^* \circ ((\Psi_{2q})_* \circ \Psi_{1p})(\pi_p(a)) \circ U \end{aligned}$$

for all a in A and by Remark 3.3 (2), the representations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ G_r \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_{r,G_r} H\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ X_r \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_{r,X_r} H\right)$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent and the theorem is proved. ■

4 The imprimitivity theorem

Let A and B be locally C^* -algebras. We recall that A and B are strongly Morita equivalent, written $A \sim_M B$, if there is a full Hilbert A -module E such that the locally C^* -algebras B and $K_A(E)$ are isomorphic. The strong Morita equivalence is an equivalence relation in the set of all locally C^* -algebras (see [4]). Also the vector space $K_A(E, A)$, denoted by $\tilde{E}$, is a full Hilbert $K_A(E)$ -module with the action of $K_A(E)$ on $K_A(E, A)$ defined by $(T, S) \rightarrow T \circ S$, $S \in K_A(E)$ and $T \in K_A(E, A)$, and the inner product defined by $\langle T, S \rangle = T^* \circ S$, $T, S \in K_A(E, A)$. Moreover, the linear map α from A to $K_{K_A(E)}(\tilde{E})$ defined by $\alpha(a)(\theta_{b,\xi}) = \theta_{ab,\xi}$ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras (see [4]). Since the locally C^* -algebras B and $K_A(E)$ are isomorphic, $\tilde{E}$ may be regarded as a Hilbert B -module.

It is not hard to check that the linear operator U_p from $\left(\tilde{E}\right)_p$ to $\tilde{E}_p$

defined by $U_p(T + \ker(\tilde{p})) = (\pi_p)_*(T)$ is unitary. Thus the Hilbert $K_{A_p}(E_p)$ -modules $\left(\tilde{E}\right)_p$ and $\widetilde{E_p}$ may be identified.

Lemma 4.1 *If $A \sim_M B$, then for each $p \in S(A)$ there is $q_p \in S(B)$ such that $A_p \sim_M B_{q_p}$. Moreover, the set $\{q_p \in S(B); p \in S(A) \text{ and } A_p \sim_M B_{q_p}\}$ is a cofinal subset of $S(B)$.*

Proof. If Φ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras from B onto $K_A(E)$, then the map $\tilde{p} \circ \Phi$, denoted by q_p , is a continuous C^* -seminorm on B . Since $\ker \pi_{q_p} = \ker(\pi_p)_* \circ \Phi$, there is a unique continuous $*$ -morphism Φ_{q_p} from B_{q_p} onto $K_{A_p}(E_p)$ such that $\Phi_{q_p} \circ \pi_{q_p} = (\pi_p)_* \circ \Phi$. Moreover, Φ_{q_p} is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras, and since E_p is a full Hilbert A_p -module, we conclude that $A_p \sim_M B_{q_p}$.

To show that $\{q_p \in S(B); p \in S(A) \text{ and } A_p \sim_M B_{q_p}\}$ is a cofinal subset of $S(B)$, let $q \in S(B)$. Then there is $p_0 \in S(A)$ such that

$$q(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(b))) \leq \tilde{p}_0(\Phi(b))$$

for all $b \in B$, whence, since $q(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(b))) = q(b)$ and $\tilde{p}_0(\Phi(b)) = q_{p_0}(b)$, we deduce that $q \leq q_{p_0}$. ■

Remark 4.2 *If E is a Hilbert B -module which gives the strong Morita equivalence between the locally C^* -algebras A and B , then E_p gives the strong Morita equivalence between the C^* -algebras A_p and B_{q_p} .*

Theorem 4.3 *Let A and B be two locally C^* -algebras such that $A \sim_M B$ and let (φ, H) be a non-degenerate representation of A . Then (φ, H) is unitarily equivalent to $\left(\overset{A}{\underset{E}{\tilde{E}}}(\overset{B}{\underset{E}{\tilde{E}}}\varphi), \tilde{E}(EH)\right)$, where E is a Hilbert A -module which gives the strong Morita equivalence between A and B .*

Proof. Let (φ_p, H) be a non-degenerate representation of A_p associated to (φ, H) . By Lemma 4.1 there is $q \in S(B)$ such that $A_p \sim_M B_q$. Moreover, the Hilbert A_p -module E_p gives the strong Morita equivalence between A_p and B_q (Remark 4.2). Then the representations (φ_p, H) and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ \widetilde{E_p} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right), \widetilde{E_p} (E_p H)$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent (Theorem 6.23 in [8]) and by Remark 3.3 (2), the representations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ \widetilde{E_p} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right), \widetilde{E_p} (E_p H)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ \widetilde{E_p} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right), \widetilde{E_p} (E_p H)$ of A_p are unitarily equivalent. From these facts we conclude that the representations (φ, H) and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ \widetilde{E_p} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right) \circ \pi_{p, \widetilde{E_p}} (E_p H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent.

On the other hand, according to Proposition 3.4, the representations $(\begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \circ \pi_{q, E_p} H)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \circ \pi_{q, E_p} H\right)$ of B are unitarily equivalent. From this, using Remark 3.3(1) and Proposition 3.4, we deduce that the representations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A \\ \widetilde{E} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right), \widetilde{E} (E_p H)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_p \\ \widetilde{E_p} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} B_q \\ E_p \end{smallmatrix} \varphi_p \right) \circ \pi_{p, \widetilde{E_p}} (E_p H)$ of A are unitarily equivalent and the theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 4.4 *Let A and B be locally C^* -algebras. If $A \sim_M B$, then there is a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of A and B which preserves direct sums and irreducibility.*

Proof. Let E be a Hilbert A -module which gives the strong Morita equivalence between A and B . By Theorem 4.3 and Remark 3.3 (1) the map from the set of all non-degenerate representations of A to the set of all non-degenerate representations of B which maps (φ, H) onto $(\begin{smallmatrix} B \\ E \end{smallmatrix} \varphi, E H)$ induces a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of A and B . Moreover, this correspondence preserves direct sums (Corollary 3.5).

Let (φ, H) be an irreducible, non-degenerate representation of A . Suppose that $(\begin{smallmatrix} B \\ E \end{smallmatrix} \varphi, E H)$ is not irreducible. Then $(\begin{smallmatrix} B \\ E \end{smallmatrix} \varphi, E H) = (\psi_1 \oplus \psi_2, H_1 \oplus H_2)$ and by Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 4.3 the representations $(\begin{smallmatrix} A \\ \tilde{E} \end{smallmatrix} \psi_1 \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} A \\ \tilde{E} \end{smallmatrix} \psi_2, \tilde{E} H_1 \oplus \tilde{E} H_2)$ and (φ, H) of A are unitarily equivalent, a contradiction. So the bijective correspondence defined above preserves irreducibility. ■

References

- [1] M. Fragoulopoulou, *An introduction to representation theory of topological $\ast$ -algebras*, Schriftenreihe, Univ. Münster, 1988.
- [2] A. Inoue, *Locally $C^\ast$ -algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, **25**(1971), 197-235.
- [3] M. Joița, *Tensor products of Hilbert modules over locally $C^\ast$ -algebras*, Czech. Math. J., **54**(129) (2004), no. 3, 727-737.
- [4] M. Joița, *Morita equivalence for locally $C^\ast$ -algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **36** (2004), no.6, 802-810.
- [5] C. Lance, *Hilbert $C^\ast$ -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [6] N.P. Landsman, *Lecture notes on $C^\ast$ -algebras, Hilbert $C^\ast$ -modules and Quantum Mechanics*, arXiv: math-ph/98030v1, 24 Jul. 1998.
- [7] N.C. Phillips, *Inverse limits of $C^\ast$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **19**(1988), 159-195.

- [8] M.A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, Adv. in Math. **13**(1974), 176-257.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest,
Bd. Regina Elisabeta nr.4-12, Bucharest, Romania
mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro